

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	I I	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/813,435		03/31/2004	Dennis Postupack	01638.0010.NPUS02	3804	
22930	7590	11/27/2006		EXAMINER		
HOWREY		DEPARTMENT	LAZORCIK, JASON L			
		RK DR, SUITE 200	ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
FALLS CH	JRCH, V	'A 22042-2924	1731			

DATE MAILED: 11/27/2006

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)	<u></u>
		10/813,435	POSTUPACK ET AL.	
	Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	•	Jason L. Lazorcik	1731	
Period f	The MAILING DATE of this communication app or Reply	pears on the cover sheet w	vith the correspondence addre	SS
WHIC - Exte after - If NC - Failt Any	IORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING Downsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1: r SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Diperiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period ourse to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing led patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUN 36(a). In no event, however, may a will apply and will expire SIX (6) MC , cause the application to become	IICATION. a reply be timely filed DNTHS from the mailing date of this comm ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status				
1)⊠	Responsive to communication(s) filed on 31 M	larch 2004.		
2a) <u></u> ☐	This action is FINAL . 2b) This	action is non-final.		
3)[Since this application is in condition for allowar	nce except for formal ma	tters, prosecution as to the mo	erits is
	closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposit	ion of Claims			
4)🖂	Claim(s) 1-72 is/are pending in the application.			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw			
5)[Claim(s) is/are allowed.			•
6)[Claim(s) is/are rejected.			
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8)⊠	Claim(s) 1-72 are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.		
Applicat	ion Papers	·		
_	The specification is objected to by the Examine	r		
	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) acce		hy the Evaminer	
. • / 🗀	Applicant may not request that any objection to the		=	
	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti			121/4)
11)	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex			
Priority ι	under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:	priority under 35 U.S.C.	§ 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.		
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in a	Application No	
	3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior			ge
	application from the International Bureau	ı (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	•	
* 5	See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	of the certified copies no	t received.	,
Attachmen	t(s)			
	e of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)	
	e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)		(s)/Mail Date Informal Patent Application	
	r No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	• •	

Application/Control Number: 10/813,435

Art Unit: 1731

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-16 and 46-72, drawn to a method for chemically tempering glass, classified in class 65, subclass 30.14.
- Claims 17-45, drawn to an immersion apparatus with work guides,
 classified in class 118, subclass 428.

The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions (I) and (II) are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another and materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the process can be performed by a materially different process such as by a CVD spray deposition process.

Because these inventions are independent or distinct for the reasons given above and there would be a serious burden on the examiner if restriction is not required because the inventions require a different field of search (see MPEP § 808.02), restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species: A method of Chemically tempering a glass article by:

1) dipping the formed glass article in a molten salt bath

Art Unit: 1731

- 2) Spray coating the glass article by flame spraying
- 3) Spray coating the glass article by electrostatic sraying
- 4) Spray coating the glass article by power spraying
- 5) coating the glass article by chemical vapor deposition

The species are independent or distinct because each species differs in method of operation and inherently contains distinct limitations not reflected in any other species.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, no claim is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species.

MPEP § 809.02(a).

Application/Control Number: 10/813,435

Art Unit: 1731

A telephone call was made to Michael Bell on November 21, 2006 to request an oral election to the above restriction requirement, but did not result in an election being made.

Applicant is advised that the reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species or invention to be examined even though the requirement be traversed (37 CFR 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected invention.

The election of an invention or species may be made with or without traverse. To reserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the restriction requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the inventions or species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the inventions or species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C.103(a) of the other invention.

Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).

Art Unit: 1731

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jason L. Lazorcik whose telephone number is (571) 272-8153. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Friday 8:30 am to 5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steven Griffin can be reached on (571) 272-1189. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

JLL

ERIC HUG
PRIMARY EXAMINER